

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/824,213	DURHAM, CHRISTOPHER W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nahid Amiri	3679	

All Participants:

(1) Nahid Amiri.

(2) Mr. Grant E. Coffield.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2006

Time: 4:00 P.M.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 6,802,519 B2 Morgan et al.; and US Patent No. 6,712,541 B1 Henrickson.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend the claim 1, to overcome the cited prior art (please see Examiner's amendment).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)